

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 14-779-UA (KK)	Date	November 13, 2015
Title	Craig M. Fratt v. Carolyn W. Colvin		

Present: The Honorable	Kenly Kiya Kato, United States Magistrate Judge		
Deb Taylor	n/a		n/a
Deputy Clerk	Court Reporter / Recorder		Tape No.
Attorneys Present for Petitioner:		Attorneys Present for Respondent:	
n/a		n/a	

Proceedings: **(In Chambers) Order to Show Cause Why Counsel’s Motion for Attorney’s Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) Should Not Be Denied for Failure to Comply with 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7) and Court Orders**

**I.
BACKGROUND**

On April 21, 2014, Plaintiff Craig M. Fratt (“Plaintiff”) filed the complaint in this action. ECF Docket No. (“Dkt.”) 1 at 1. Plaintiff alleged defendant Carolyn W. Colvin (“Defendant”) had improperly denied Plaintiff’s applications for disability insurance benefits and supplemental security income. Id. at 2-3.

On April 23, 2015, the Honorable Stephen J. Hillman issued a Case Management Order (“CMO”). Dkt. 5. The CMO requires as follows:

Plaintiff’s counsel shall electronically file a motion or petition for attorney’s fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) and manually serve copies on the Office of Regional Counsel and on the plaintiff. Plaintiff’s counsel shall state in any notice that plaintiff may file any statement or opposition with the court not more than fourteen (14) days after service of the motion/petition, and that any statement or opposition filed by plaintiff shall be served on both plaintiff’s counsel and counsel for the Commissioner (the Assistant United States Attorney assigned to the case).

Id. at 6.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 14-779-UA (KK)	Date	November 13, 2015
Title	Craig M. Fratt v. Carolyn W. Colvin		

On October 28, 2015, Plaintiff's counsel, Shanny Lee ("Counsel"), filed a Motion for Attorney's Fees Pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 406(b) ("Motion"). Dkt. 20. The Motion seeks an award in the gross amount of \$24,163.23 for representing Plaintiff. Dkt. 21 at 1-2. However, Counsel failed to file any document showing Plaintiff was served with the Motion, or provided notice of his opportunity to file a statement or opposition to the Motion. See id.; Dkt. 5.

II.
DISCUSSION

Where counsel files a motion for fees for representing a claimant in an action for social security benefits, counsel must provide a statement showing she sent a copy of the motion to the claimant. 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7); Dkt. 5. See Holder v. Astrue, No. CIV.05-3521-PHX (RCB), 2009 WL 1363538, at *3 (D. Ariz. May 7, 2009) ("There is no question but that, when making section 406(b) applications, as here, attorneys are required to give notice to their clients as to the existence of such application." (internal quotation marks omitted)).

Here, Counsel failed to comply with 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7) because she failed to provide a statement showing she sent a copy of the Motion to Plaintiff. 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7). Counsel further failed to adhere to the CMO with respect to: (1) manually serving copies on Plaintiff; and (2) stating in any notice that Plaintiff may file any statement or opposition to the Motion with the Court not more than fourteen days after service of the Motion, and that any statement or opposition filed by Plaintiff shall be served on Counsel and counsel for Defendant. Dkt. 5 at 6. Thus, Counsel must show cause why the Motion should not be denied based upon her failure to comply with 20 C.F.R. § 404.1725(a)(7) and the CMO.

III.
ORDER

If Counsel desires to pursue the Motion, Counsel must: (1) serve copies of the Motion and Motion-related documents on Plaintiff; (2) provide notice to Plaintiff that he may file a statement or opposition to the Motion with the Court not more than fourteen days after service; and (3) file written verification of the foregoing with the Court no later than **November 27, 2015**. **The Court warns Counsel that failure to timely act as**

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTES - GENERAL

Case No.	EDCV 14-779-UA (KK)	Date	November 13, 2015
Title	Craig M. Fratt v. Carolyn W. Colvin		

directed in this Order will result in denial of the motion without prejudice.